



## The Bush Tax Cuts: Are Delawareans Better Off?

Over the past six years, President George W. Bush and his allies in Congress have enacted multiple rounds of major tax cuts, including special tax breaks for capital gains and dividends, reductions in personal income tax rates, estate tax cuts and an array of corporate tax loopholes. This issue brief summarizes the effects of the Bush tax cuts on Delawareans at different income levels.

There are two key findings: First of all, the tax breaks enacted since 2001 are heavily skewed towards the very wealthiest few. Second, because the tax cuts are being paid for with borrowed money, the cost of paying the added national debt more than wipes out any benefits from the tax cuts for 99 percent of Delawareans. Only the best-off one percent of Delawareans are net winners.

### Over the 2001-2010 period, the wealthiest one percent of Delawareans will receive 32.5 percent of the Bush tax cuts in the state.

- Over the 2001-2010 period, the wealthiest one percent of Delawareans, who have an average income of \$1,099,000 in 2006, will receive 32.5 percent of the tax cuts.
- The total 10-year average tax cut for this wealthiest group is \$458,275, an average of \$45,828 per year.
- In contrast, the poorest 60 percent will get only 16.7 percent of the tax cuts, with an average annual tax cut over the 10 years of only \$400.

### Effects of Tax Cuts on Delaware Families & Individuals in 2001-2010:

#### Average Tax Cuts By Income Group

| Income group     | Ave. 2006 Income | Average Bush Tax Cuts |                |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  | 10 yrs            |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
|                  |                  | 2001                  | 2002           | 2003             | 2004             | 2005             | 2006             | 2007             | 2008             | 2009             | 2010             |                   |
| <b>Delaware</b>  |                  |                       |                |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                   |
| Lowest 20%       | \$ 10,300        | \$ -60                | \$ -85         | \$ -91           | \$ -99           | \$ -76           | \$ -75           | \$ -81           | \$ -86           | \$ -94           | \$ -102          | \$ -850           |
| Second 20%       | 24,800           | -290                  | -289           | -387             | -430             | -460             | -471             | -488             | -508             | -523             | -535             | -4,382            |
| Middle 20%       | 42,500           | -368                  | -504           | -722             | -780             | -661             | -648             | -672             | -699             | -727             | -770             | -6,550            |
| Fourth 20%       | 68,700           | -568                  | -870           | -1,499           | -1,529           | -1,284           | -1,279           | -1,161           | -1,234           | -1,226           | -1,170           | -11,819           |
| Next 15%         | 113,800          | -744                  | -1,355         | -2,994           | -3,338           | -2,930           | -2,915           | -2,150           | -2,062           | -1,993           | -1,907           | -22,389           |
| Next 4%          | 224,400          | -997                  | -3,286         | -6,556           | -7,106           | -5,224           | -5,348           | -2,730           | -2,591           | -2,807           | -3,466           | -40,112           |
| Top 1%           | 1,099,000        | -2,876                | -26,846        | -51,096          | -58,720          | -40,192          | -42,909          | -45,191          | -50,286          | -59,522          | -80,638          | -458,275          |
| <b>ALL</b>       | <b>\$ 66,200</b> | <b>\$ -438</b>        | <b>\$ -956</b> | <b>\$ -1,776</b> | <b>\$ -1,944</b> | <b>\$ -1,550</b> | <b>\$ -1,578</b> | <b>\$ -1,369</b> | <b>\$ -1,419</b> | <b>\$ -1,519</b> | <b>\$ -1,754</b> | <b>\$ -14,303</b> |
| <b>ADDENDUM:</b> |                  |                       |                |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |                   |
| Poorest 60%      | \$ 26,100        | \$ -242               | \$ -297        | \$ -406          | \$ -445          | \$ -406          | \$ -405          | \$ -421          | \$ -442          | \$ -460          | \$ -478          | \$ -4,002         |
| Top 20%          | \$ 186,000       | \$ -906               | \$ -3,054      | \$ -6,043        | \$ -6,918        | \$ -5,294        | \$ -5,437        | \$ -4,457        | \$ -4,597        | \$ -5,055        | \$ -6,182        | \$ -47,942        |

**Effects of Tax Cuts on Delaware Families and Individuals in 2001-2010:  
Share of Tax Cuts by Income Group**

| Income group     | Ave. 2006<br>Income | % of Total Bush Tax Cuts In Delaware |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                  |                     | 2001                                 | 2002          | 2003          | 2004          | 2005          | 2006          | 2007          | 2008          | 2009          | 2010          | 10 yrs        |
| Lowest 20%       | \$ 10,300           | 2.6%                                 | 1.7%          | 1.0%          | 0.9%          | 0.9%          | 0.9%          | 1.1%          | 1.1%          | 1.2%          | 1.1%          | 1.1%          |
| Second 20%       | 24,800              | 13.6%                                | 6.4%          | 4.6%          | 4.7%          | 6.2%          | 6.2%          | 7.5%          | 7.5%          | 7.2%          | 6.4%          | 6.4%          |
| Middle 20%       | 42,500              | 16.7%                                | 10.5%         | 8.1%          | 8.0%          | 8.5%          | 8.2%          | 9.7%          | 10.1%         | 9.8%          | 8.7%          | 9.2%          |
| Fourth 20%       | 68,700              | 25.6%                                | 18.1%         | 16.1%         | 15.6%         | 16.5%         | 16.1%         | 17.0%         | 16.7%         | 15.6%         | 13.3%         | 16.2%         |
| Next 15%         | 113,800             | 25.1%                                | 20.8%         | 26.0%         | 25.5%         | 28.1%         | 27.6%         | 23.4%         | 21.7%         | 19.6%         | 16.3%         | 23.3%         |
| Next 4%          | 224,400             | 9.6%                                 | 14.3%         | 15.6%         | 14.7%         | 13.4%         | 13.5%         | 7.9%          | 7.3%          | 7.3%          | 7.8%          | 11.2%         |
| Top 1%           | 1,099,000           | 6.7%                                 | 28.3%         | 28.7%         | 30.6%         | 26.4%         | 27.6%         | 33.4%         | 35.6%         | 39.3%         | 46.3%         | 32.5%         |
| <b>ALL</b>       | <b>\$ 66,200</b>    | <b>100.0%</b>                        | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |
| <b>ADDENDUM:</b> |                     |                                      |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Poorest 60%      | \$ 26,100           | 33.0%                                | 18.5%         | 13.6%         | 13.6%         | 15.6%         | 15.3%         | 18.3%         | 18.8%         | 18.2%         | 16.2%         | 16.7%         |
| Top 20%          | \$ 186,000          | 41.4%                                | 63.5%         | 70.3%         | 70.8%         | 67.9%         | 68.6%         | 64.7%         | 64.6%         | 66.2%         | 70.5%         | 67.1%         |

**For 99 percent of Delawareans, the Bush tax cuts are much smaller than the share of the increased national debt they'll have to pay off. Only the wealthiest one percent are net winners.**

The tax cuts enacted by President Bush and his allies in Congress have been “paid for” entirely with borrowed money. This means that every dollar of tax cuts received results in a dollar of debt that must be paid back in the future — with interest. We analyzed the effects of this added debt on a per-person basis, rather than by family units. That’s because everyone — adults as well as their children — will eventually have to pay off the added debt and interest. We also looked only at the tax cuts and added debt that have been actually taken place so far (i.e., through 2006).

For the wealthiest one percent of Delaware residents, the tax cuts they’ve received from 2001 through 2006 outweigh their share of the added debt accumulated over the past six years by an average of \$30,559 per family member. They have received an average tax cut of \$79,211 per family member, which exceeds their added debt burden of \$48,652 per person by \$30,559.

**Net Burden of Bush Fiscal Policies on the Middle 20% of Delawareans 2001-06**

|                               |           |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Average Tax Cut per Person    | \$ -1,919 |
| Average Added Debt per Person | +9,327    |
| Per Person Net Loss           | \$7,408   |
| Net Loss for Family of Four   | \$29,632  |

But for the other 99 percent of Delaware residents, their share of the added debt accumulated from 2001 through 2006 outweighs their tax cuts by an average of \$7,194 per person. They have received an average tax break of \$2,901 per person over the six-year period, but their added debt burden averages \$10,095 per person.

**Effects of the Bush Tax Cuts and Debt Build-Up on Delawareans in 2001-06:  
Average Per Person Added Debt, Tax Cuts and Net Added Burden by Income Group**

| Income Group                                   | Lowest 20% | Second 20% | Middle 20% | Fourth 20% | Next 15%  | Next 4%   | Top 1%    |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Average per-person added debt so far           | \$+7,465   | \$+8,589   | \$+9,327   | \$+10,232  | \$+11,839 | \$+15,965 | \$+48,652 |
| Average per-person 6-year tax cut (total)      | -342       | -1,504     | -1,919     | -2,871     | -4,995    | -9,868    | -79,211   |
| Net added per-person burden (+) or benefit (-) | \$+7,123   | \$+7,085   | \$+7,408   | \$+7,361   | \$+6,844  | \$+6,097  | \$-30,559 |

### No Free Lunch In Delaware

President Bush has borrowed all the money to finance his tax reductions, and the interest on that enormous borrowing is building up rapidly. What makes this borrowing more alarming is that the benefits are skewed so heavily towards the wealthiest taxpayers. As the above data show, in Delaware, 99 percent of taxpayers will get a debt bill that far exceeds any small benefits from these policies.

#### Technical notes:

Tax cuts by state and income group were calculated by the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy. For more details, see: "The Bush Tax Cuts Enacted Through 2006, The Latest CTJ Data (June 2006), <http://www.ctj.org/pdf/gwbdata.pdf>. Additions to the national debt (including amounts borrowed from the Social Security Trust Fund) are based on actual figures for fiscal 2002 through 2005 and Congressional Budget Office estimates for fiscal 2006 and 2007. The added debt was allocated among states and income groups based on shares of adjusted gross income and population (double-weighted). Of course, no one can say for sure how the debt will be paid for in the future, whether through huge program cuts or very large, potentially regressive tax increases. The approach here, which allocates the debt payments two-thirds to spending cuts (per capita) and one-third to proportional tax increases (by AGI), seems to be a middle ground of the possible outcomes.

